UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BAHSID McLEAN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

19-CV-10967 (CM) ORDER TO AMEND

DARCEL CLARK, District Attorney; BRONX SUPREME COURTHOUSE; RIKERS ISLAND, Detention Center,

Defendants.

COLLEEN McMAHON, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, currently incarcerated at Attica Correctional Facility, brings this *pro se* action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging that Defendants violated his federal constitutional rights. By order dated January 7, 2020, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed without prepayment of fees, that is, *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). For the reasons set forth below, the Court grants Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint within 60 days of the date of this order.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Prison Litigation Reform Act requires that federal courts screen complaints brought by prisoners who seek relief against a governmental entity or an officer or employee of a governmental entity. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The Court must dismiss a prisoner's IFP complaint, or any portion of the complaint, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(B), 1915A(b); *see Abbas v. Dixon*, 480 F.3d 636, 639

¹ Prisoners are not exempt from paying the full filing fee even when they have been granted permission to proceed IFP. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1).

(2d Cir. 2007). The Court must also dismiss a complaint if the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they *suggest*," *Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 470 F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted) (emphasis in original). But the "special solicitude" in *pro se* cases, *id.* at 475 (citation omitted), has its limits – to state a claim, *pro se* pleadings still must comply with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.

The Supreme Court has held that under Rule 8, a complaint must include enough facts to state a claim for relief "that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). A claim is facially plausible if the plaintiff pleads enough factual detail to allow the Court to draw the inference that the defendant is liable for the alleged misconduct. In reviewing the complaint, the Court must accept all well-pleaded factual allegations as true. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009). But it does not have to accept as true "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action," which are essentially just legal conclusions. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. After separating legal conclusions from well-pleaded factual allegations, the Court must determine whether those facts make it plausible – not merely possible – that the pleader is entitled to relief. *Id*.

BACKGROUND

Plaintiff alleges that, on May 20, 2015, he was arraigned in Bronx Supreme Court for possessing and knowingly making dangerous contraband in prison – charges that Plaintiff claims were ultimately dismissed. Plaintiff alleges that the charges were dismissed "only . . . due to the

fact that the courts did not pay attention to all the facts of the charges being brought against" him. (ECF No. 1, at 4.)

Plaintiff sues Bronx District Attorney Darcel Clark, the Bronx Supreme Courthouse, and Rikers Island Detention Facility. He seeks money damages.

DISCUSSION

A. Claims against Darcel Clark

Prosecutors are immune from civil suits for damages for acts committed within the scope of their official duties where the challenged activities are not investigative in nature but, rather, are "intimately associated with the judicial phase of the criminal process." *Simon v. City of New York*, 727 F.3d 167, 171 (2d Cir. 2013) (quoting *Imbler v. Pachtman*, 424 U.S. 409, 430 (1976)); see also Buckley v. Fitzsimmons, 509 U.S. 259 (1993) (holding that absolute immunity is analyzed under "functional approach" that "looks to the nature of the function performed, not the identity of the actor who performed it"). In addition, prosecutors are absolutely immune from suit for acts that may be administrative obligations but are "directly connected with the conduct of a trial." *Van de Kamp v. Goldstein*, 555 U.S. 335, 344 (2009).

Here, Plaintiff's claims against Bronx District Attorney Darcel Clark are based on actions within the scope of Defendant's official duties and associated with the conduct of a trial. The Court therefore dismisses these claims because they seek monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from suit and as frivolous. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(b)(i), (iii); see Collazo v. Pagano, 656 F. 3d 131, 134 (2d Cir. 2011) (holding that claim against prosecutor is frivolous if it arises from conduct that is "intimately associated with the judicial phase of the criminal process").

B. Claims against the Bronx Supreme Court

"[A]s a general rule, state governments may not be sued in federal court unless they have waived their Eleventh Amendment immunity, or unless Congress has abrogated the states' Eleventh Amendment immunity" *Gollomp v. Spitzer*, 568 F.3d 355, 366 (2d Cir. 2009). "The immunity recognized by the Eleventh Amendment extends beyond the states themselves to state agents and state instrumentalities that are, effectively, arms of a state." *Id.* New York State Courts are arms of the state for purposes of Eleventh Amendment immunity. *Id.* at 368.

New York has not waived its Eleventh Amendment immunity to suit in federal court, and Congress did not abrogate the states' immunity in enacting 42 U.S.C. § 1983. *See Trotman v. Palisades Interstate Park Comm'n*, 557 F.2d 35, 40 (2d Cir. 1977). The Court therefore dismisses Plaintiff's § 1983 claims against the Bronx Supreme Court as barred by the Eleventh Amendment and as frivolous. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(b)(i), (iii); *Montero v. Travis*, 171 F.3d 757, 760 (2d Cir. 1999) ("A complaint will be dismissed as 'frivolous' when 'it is clear that the defendants are immune from suit." (quoting *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 327 (1989))).

C. Claims against Rikers Island

Plaintiff's claims against Rikers Island must also be dismissed. Section 1983 provides that an action may be maintained against a "person" who has deprived another of rights under the "Constitution and Laws." 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Rikers Island is not a "person" within the meaning of § 1983. See Will v. Mich. Dep't of State Police, 491 U.S. 58 (1989) (state is not a "person" for the purpose of § 1983 claims); Zuckerman v. Appellate Div., Second Dep't Supreme Court, 421 F.2d 625, 626 (2d Cir. 1970) (court not a "person" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 1983); Whitley v. Westchester Cty. Corr. Fac. Admin., No. 97-CV-420 (SS), 1997 WL 659100, at *7 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 22, 1997) (correctional facility or jail not a "person" within the meaning of

§ 1983). The Court therefore dismisses Plaintiff's claims against Rikers Island. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

D. Malicious Prosecution

A malicious prosecution claim under § 1983 requires four elements: (1) the initiation or continuation of a criminal proceeding; (2) termination of the proceeding in the plaintiff's favor; (3) lack of probable cause for commencing the proceeding; and (4) actual malice as a motivation for the defendants' actions. *Murphy v. Lynn*, 118 F.3d 938, 947 (2d Cir. 1997). Moreover, a "plaintiff asserting a Fourth Amendment malicious prosecution claim under § 1983 must [also] show some deprivation of liberty consistent with the concept of 'seizure." *Singer v. Fulton Cty. Sheriff*, 63 F.3d 110, 116 (2d Cir.1995).

The Second Circuit recently clarified that federal law defines the elements of a § 1983 malicious prosecution claim, and the state's tort law serves only as a source of persuasive authority. *Lanning v. City of Glens Falls*, 908 F.3d 19, 22 (2d Cir. 2018). Under federal law, "a plaintiff asserting a malicious prosecution claim under § 1983 must . . . show that the underlying criminal proceeding ended in a manner that affirmatively indicates his innocence." *Id.* Thus, "where a dismissal in the interest of justice leaves the question of guilt or innocence unanswered, . . . it cannot provide the favorable termination required as the basis for [that] claim." *Thompson v. Clark*, 364 F. Supp. 3d 178, 195 (E.D.N.Y. 2019).²

Plaintiff does not allege facts sufficient to state a § 1983 malicious prosecution claim. To satisfy the initiation requirement, a plaintiff must allege facts suggesting that the defendant

² By contrast, under New York state law, the second prong may be satisfied if the plaintiff establishes that "the prosecution terminated in a manner not inconsistent with plaintiff's innocence," *Smith-Hunter v. Harvey*, 95 N.Y.2d 191, 198 (2000). However, "a State's tort law serves only as a source of persuasive authority rather than binding precedent in defining" the elements of a federal claim for malicious prosecution. *Lanning*, 908 F.3d at 25.

"played an active role in the prosecution, such as giving advice and encouragement or importuning the authorities to act." *Rohman v. New York City Transit Auth.*, 215 F.3d 208, 214 (2d Cir. 2000). Here, Plaintiff sues the Bronx District Attorney, the Bronx Supreme Court, and Rikers Island, all of which are immune from suit under § 1983. He does not name as a defendant or make allegations regarding the person responsible for initiating the charges against him – most likely the arresting correction officer or police officer.

Plaintiff states that the charges against him were dismissed because "the courts did not pay attention to the facts of the charges" brought against him. (ECF No. 1, at 4.) But he does not allege facts showing that the charges were dismissed in a manner that affirmatively indicates his innocence. Plaintiff also fails to allege any facts suggesting that the proceedings against him were commenced or continued without probable cause or that actual malice motivated the Defendant's actions.

The Court therefore dismisses Plaintiff's malicious prosecution claim for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

LEAVE TO AMEND

Plaintiff proceeds in this matter without the benefit of an attorney. District courts generally should grant a self-represented plaintiff an opportunity to amend a complaint to cure its defects, unless amendment would be futile. *See Hill v. Curcione*, 657 F.3d 116, 123-24 (2d Cir. 2011); *Salahuddin v. Cuomo*, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir. 1988). Indeed, the Second Circuit has cautioned that district courts "should not dismiss [a *pro se* complaint] without granting leave to amend at least once when a liberal reading of the complaint gives any indication that a valid claim might be stated." *Cuoco v. Moritsugu*, 222 F.3d 99, 112 (2d Cir. 2000) (quoting *Gomez v. USAA Fed. Sav. Bank*, 171 F.3d 794, 795 (2d Cir. 1999)). Because Plaintiff may be able to allege

additional facts to state a valid § 1983 claim for malicious prosecution, the Court grants Plaintiff 60 days' leave to amend his complaint to detail his claims.

First, Plaintiff must name as the defendants in the caption³ and in the statement of claim those individuals who were allegedly involved in the deprivation of his federal rights. If Plaintiff does not know the name of a defendant, he may refer to that individual as "John Doe" or "Jane Doe" in both the caption and the body of the amended complaint. The naming of John Doe defendants, however, does *not* toll the three-year statute of limitations period governing this action and Plaintiff shall be responsible for ascertaining the true identity of any "John Doe" defendants and amending his complaint to include the identity of any "John Doe" defendants before the statute of limitations period expires. Should Plaintiff seek to add a new claim or party after the statute of limitations period has expired, he must meet the requirements of Rule 15(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In the statement of claim, Plaintiff must provide a short and plain statement of the relevant facts supporting each claim against each defendant named in the amended complaint. Plaintiff is also directed to provide the addresses for any named defendants. To the greatest extent possible, Plaintiff's amended complaint must:

- a) give the names and titles of all relevant persons;
- b) describe all relevant events, stating the facts that support Plaintiff's case including what each defendant did or failed to do;
- c) give the dates and times of each relevant event or, if not known, the approximate date and time of each relevant event;

³ The caption is located on the front page of the complaint. Each individual defendant must be named in the caption. Plaintiff may attach additional pages if there is not enough space to list all the defendants in the caption. If Plaintiff needs to attach an additional page to list all defendants, he should write "see attached list" on the first page of the Amended Complaint. Any defendants named in the caption must also be discussed in Plaintiff's statement of claim.

⁴ For example, a defendant may be identified as: "Correction Officer John Doe #1 on duty August 31, 2010, at Sullivan Correctional Facility, during the 7-3 p.m. shift."

- d) give the location where each relevant event occurred;
- e) describe how each defendant's acts or omissions violated Plaintiff's rights and describe the injuries Plaintiff suffered; and
- f) state what relief Plaintiff seeks from the Court, such as money damages, injunctive relief, or declaratory relief.

Essentially, the body of Plaintiff's amended complaint must tell the Court: who violated his federally protected rights; what facts show that his federally protected rights were violated; when such violation occurred; where such violation occurred; and why Plaintiff is entitled to relief. Because Plaintiff's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wishes to maintain must be included in the amended complaint.

CONCLUSION

The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff and note service on the docket. Plaintiff is granted leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit within 60 days of the date of this order, caption the document as an "Amended Complaint," and label the document with docket number 19-CV-10967 (CM). An Amended Civil Rights Complaint form is attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff fails to comply within the time allowed, and he cannot show good cause to excuse such failure, the Court will dismiss the complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *Cf.*

Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 31, 2020

New York, New York

COLLEEN McMAHON

Chief United States District Judge

			DISTRICT COURT RICT OF NEW YORK		
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s).) -against-				AMENDED COMPLAINT under the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1983	
				Jury Trial: □ Yes □ No (check one)	
				Civ ()	
cannot please additio listed t	fit the nate write "seenal sheet in the abor	mes of all ee attach of paper ve caption	the full name(s) of the defendant(s). If you led to the defendants in the space provided, ed" in the space above and attach an with the full list of names. The names in must be identical to those contained in not be included here.)		
I.	Parties	s in this	complaint:		
A.		ement. I		e and address of your current place of named. Attach additional sheets of paper	
Plaint	iff's	Curren	t Institutions		
В.	may be	served.	nts' names, positions, places of employm	nent, and the address where each defendant elow are identical to those contained in the	
Defen	dant No.	. 1	Where Currently Employed	Shield #	

Defendant 1	110. 2	Name	
		Where Currently Employed	
		Address	
Defendant 1	No. 3	NameWhere Currently Employed	Shield #
		Address	
Defendent	N - 4	N	
Defendant	No. 4	Name	
		Where Currently EmployedAddress	
Defendant 1	No. 5	Name	
Defendant	140. 3	Where Currently Employed	
		Address	
State as brie caption of th You may wi rise to your	is complaish to inclucion claims.	ssible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how eint is involved in this action, along with the date ude further details such as the names of other po not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend	es and locations of all relevant persons involved in the events to allege a number of related
State as brie caption of th You may wi rise to your number and	efly as pos is compla- ish to inclu- claims. D set forth o	ssible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how of int is involved in this action, along with the date ude further details such as the names of other p	es and locations of all relevant bersons involved in the events to allege a number of related ditional sheets of paper as nec
State as brie caption of th You may wi rise to your number and A. In w	efly as positis complaints to include claims. Do set forth or what institu	ssible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how dint is involved in this action, along with the date add further details such as the names of other po not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach add	es and locations of all relevant persons involved in the events to allege a number of related of ditional sheets of paper as nec
State as brie caption of th You may wi rise to your number and A. In w	efly as positis complaints to include claims. Do set forth or what institutes are in the	ssible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how on the intime is involved in this action, along with the date and further details such as the names of other proportion on the case of statutes. If you intended the case claim in a separate paragraph. Attach addressed the control of the events giving rise to your claim(s)	es and locations of all relevant persons involved in the events to allege a number of related ditional sheets of paper as nec) occur? aim(s) occur?
State as brie caption of th You may wi rise to your number and A. In w	efly as positis complaints to include claims. Do set forth or what institutes are in the	ssible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how dint is involved in this action, along with the date ude further details such as the names of other poon to cite any cases or statutes. If you intendeach claim in a separate paragraph. Attach addition did the events giving rise to your claim(s) institution did the events giving rise to your claim(s).	es and locations of all relevant persons involved in the events to allege a number of related of ditional sheets of paper as nec. occur? aim(s) occur?
State as brie caption of th You may wirise to your number and A. In was a second of the Armonia of the You may wirise to your number and the Armonia of the	efly as positis complaints to include the claims. Do set forth the claims at the claims at date a	ssible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how dint is involved in this action, along with the date ude further details such as the names of other poon to cite any cases or statutes. If you intendeach claim in a separate paragraph. Attach addition did the events giving rise to your claim(s) institution did the events giving rise to your claim(s).	es and locations of all relevant persons involved in the ever to allege a number of relate ditional sheets of paper as n occur? aim(s) occur?

III.	Injuries:
If yo	ou sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe them and state what medical ment, if any, you required and received.
ucan	ment, if any, you required and received.
IV.	Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies:
broug	Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), requires that "[n]o action shall be ght with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a ner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are
availa	able are exhausted." Administrative remedies are also known as grievance procedures.
availa	Did your claim(s) arise while you were confined in a jail, prison, or other correctional facility?
	If yo treatr

		rise to your claim(s).				
В.	Does	the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose have a grievance dure?				
	Yes _	No Do Not Know				
C.		the grievance procedure at the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) cover some or all of your claim(s)?				
	Yes _	No Do Not Know				
	If YE	S, which claim(s)?				
D.	Did y	ou file a grievance in the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose?				
	Yes _	No				
		o, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any other jail, a, or other correctional facility?				
	Yes _	No				
E.		If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you file the grievance?				
	1.	Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve?				
	2.	What was the result, if any?				
	3. the hi	What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to appeal to ghest level of the grievance process.				
F.	If you	did not file a grievance:				
	1.	If there are any reasons why you did not file a grievance, state them here:				

	2.	If you did not file a grievance but informed any officials of your claim, state who you informed, when and how, and their response, if any:
G.	Please remedi	set forth any additional information that is relevant to the exhaustion of your administrative es.
Note:	You m admini	ay attach as exhibits to this complaint any documents related to the exhaustion of your strative remedies.
v.	Relief:	
		want the Court to do for you (including the amount of monetary compensation, if any, that g and the basis for such amount).

VI.	Previ	ious lawsuits:
A.	Have action	you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court dealing with the same facts involved in this and
	Yes_	No
В.	there	ar answer to A is YES, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (If is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another sheet of paper, using time format.)
	1.	Parties to the previous lawsuit:
	Plain	tiff
		ndants
	2.Co	urt (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)
	3.	Docket or Index number
	4.	Name of Judge assigned to your case
	5.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit
	6.	Is the case still pending? Yes No
		If NO, give the approximate date of disposition
	7.	What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was there judgment in your favor? Was the case appealed?)
C.	Have	you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court otherwise relating to your imprisonment?
	Yes_	No
D.	there	ar answer to C is YES, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (If is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another piece of paper, using time format.)
	1.	Parties to the previous lawsuit:
	Plain	tiff
	Defe	ndants
	2.	Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)
	3.	Docket or Index number
	4.	Name of Judge assigned to your case
	 5.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit

On these claims

On other claims

6.	Is the case still pending? Yes No
	If NO, give the approximate date of disposition
7.	What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was there judgment in your favor? Was the case appealed?)
I declare und	der penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
	day of, 20
	Signature of Plaintiff
	Inmate Number
	Institution Address
	laintiffs named in the caption of the complaint must date and sign the complaint and provide inmate numbers and addresses.
I declare und	er penalty of perjury that on this day of, 20_, I am delivering
-	t to prison authorities to be mailed to the <i>Pro Se</i> Office of the United States District Court for
the Southern	District of New York.
	Signature of Plaintiff